CS 4495 Computer Vision Photometry





Thanks to Srinivasa Narasimhan, Shree Nayar, David Kreigman, Marc Pollefeys



We need to understand the relation between the lighting, surface reflectance and medium and the image of the scene.

Why study the physics (optics) of the world?

Lets see some pictures!

Lights and Shadows



Reflections



Refractions





Interreflections



Scattering





And many more





























Image intensities

= f (normal, surface reflectance, illumination)



- Image intensities = f (normal, surface reflectance, illumination)
- Surface reflection depends on both the viewing and illumination directions.

Foreshortening: A Simple Observation



As the surface tilts away from the light source the same light energy is spread over a larger area, making the surface darker

BRDF: Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function



Irradiance: power per unit area incident on the surface

Radiance: power from the surface from a given direction within a given solid angle

BRDF: Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function



$$E^{surface}(\theta_i, \phi_i) \sim \cos \theta_i L^{surface}(\theta_i, \phi_i)$$

BRDF: Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function



 $E^{surface}(\theta_i, \phi_i)$ Irradiance at Surface in direction (θ_i, ϕ_i) $L^{surface}(\theta_r, \phi_r)$ Radiance of Surface in direction (θ_r, ϕ_r)

BRDF:
$$f(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_r, \phi_r) = \frac{L^{surface}(\theta_r, \phi_r)}{E^{surface}(\theta_i, \phi_i)}$$

Important Properties of BRDFs



• Conservation of Energy:

$$\int f(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_r, \phi_r) \cos \theta_i d\omega_i \le 1$$
hemisphere
Input power can not be larger than
output power (surface not emitting light)

Important Properties of BRDFs



• Helmholtz Reciprocity: (follows from 2nd Law of Thermodynamics)

BRDF does not change when source and viewing directions are swapped.

$$f(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_r, \phi_r) = f(\theta_r, \phi_r; \theta_i, \phi_i)$$

Important Properties of BRDFs



• Rotational Symmetry (Isotropy):

BRDF does not change when surface is rotated about the normal. Can be written as a function of 3 variables : $f(\theta_i, \theta_r, \phi_i - \phi_r)$



• Body Reflection:

Diffuse Reflection Matte Appearance Non-Homogeneous Medium Clay, paper, etc • Surface Reflection:

Specular Reflection Glossy Appearance Highlights Dominant for Metals

Image Intensity = Body Reflection + Surface Reflection

Reflections: Example Surfaces

Body Reflection:

Diffuse Reflection

Matte Appearance Non-Homogeneous Medium Clay, paper, etc



Many materials exhibit **both Reflections**:

Surface Reflection:

Specular Reflection

Glossy Appearance Highlights Dominant for Metals







Diffuse Reflection and Lambertian BRDF



- Only body reflection, and no specular reflection
- BRDF is independent of outgoing direction
- BRDF depends on indent direction (foreshortening)

Diffuse Reflection and Lambertian BRDF



- Surface appears equally bright from ALL directions! (independent of ${\cal V}$)
- Lambertian BRDF is simply a constant : $f(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_r, \phi_r) = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi}$ Albedo

• Surface Radiance :
$$L = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi} I \cos \theta_i = \frac{\rho_d}{\pi} I \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}$$
 source intensity

• Commonly used in Vision and Graphics!

A Small Test





CAN'T perceive the shape of the snow covered terrain!



CAN perceive shape in regions lit by the street lamp!!

WHY?

Specular Reflection and Mirror BRDF





How about a mirror?

Reflection **ONLY** at mirror angle

Specular Reflection and Mirror BRDF



- Valid for very smooth surfaces.
- All incident light energy reflected in a **SINGLE** direction (only when $\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{r}$).
- Mirror BRDF is simply a double-delta function :

$$f(\theta_i, \phi_i; \theta_v, \phi_v) = \frac{\rho_s}{\cos \theta_i} \delta(\theta_i - \theta_v) \,\delta(\phi_i + \pi - \phi_v)$$

naaular albada

• Surface Radiance : $L = I \rho_s \delta(\theta_i - \theta_v) \delta(\phi_i + \pi - \phi_v)$

Diffusion + Specular Reflection







diffuse

specular

diffuse+specular

Phong Reflection Model

 The BRDF of many surfaces can be approximated by The Lambertian + Specular Model



Phong Reflection Model

 The BRDF of many surfaces can be approximated by The Lambertian + Specular Model







Image intensities = f (normal, surface reflectance, illumination)
 Shape
 Reflectance
 Lighting

Shape from ...



- Shading as a cue for shape reconstruction
- What is the relation between intensity and shape?
 - Reflectance Map

Surface Normal: A Bit More Math



Gradient Space (Tricky!)



z = 1 plane is called the Gradient Space (pq plane)

• Every point on it corresponds to a particular surface orientation

Reflectance Map

- Relates image irradiance *I(x,y)* to surface orientation (*p,q*) for given source direction and surface reflectance
- Lambertian case:

k : source brightness

 ρ : surface albedo (reflectance)

C: constant (optical system)

Image irradiance:

$$I = \frac{\rho}{\pi} kc \cos \theta_i = \frac{\rho}{\pi} kc \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}$$

Let
$$\frac{\rho}{\pi}kc = 1$$
 then $I = \cos\theta_i = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}$



Reflectance Map

• Lambertian case



Reflectance Map

• Lambertian case



Shape from A Single Image?

- Given a single image of an object with known surface reflectance taken under a known light source, can we recover the shape of the object?
- Given R(p,q) ((p_s,q_s) and surface reflectance) can we determine (p,q) uniquely for each image point?



Shape from X

- Take more images
 - Photometric stereo
- Add more constraints
 - Shape-from-shading

Photometric Stereo: Lambertian Toy









Surface Normals





Albedo

Photometric Stereo



Photometric Stereo



$$I = \frac{\rho}{\pi} kc \cos \theta_i = \rho \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s} \quad \left(\frac{kc}{\pi} = 1\right)$$

Image irradiance:

- $I_1 = \rho \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}_1$ $I_2 = \rho \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}_2$ $I_3 = \rho \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}_3$
- We can write this in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \rho \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T \\ \mathbf{s}_2^T \\ \mathbf{s}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{n}$$
Landowick

Solving the Equations: Least Square

• I and s are known



Adding More Light Sources

• Get better results by using more (*N*) lights

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ \vdots \\ I_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_N^T \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{n}$$

• Least squares solution:

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{S}\widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \qquad N \times 1 = (N \times 3)(3 \times 1)$$
$$\mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{S} \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{n}} = (\mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \mathbf{S}^{T} \mathbf{I} \qquad \min ||\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}\widetilde{\mathbf{n}}||_{2}^{2}$$
Moore-Penrose pseudo inverse

• Solve for ρ, \mathbf{n} as before

Results: Lambertian Sphere



Input Images



Needles are projections of surface normals on image plane



Estimated Surface Normals

Estimated Albedo

Results: Lambertian Mask





Final Step: From Normal to Surface

- Simplest method
 - Get surface normal field n = (p,q,1)
 - Integrate p = df/dx along a row (x, 0) to get f(x, 0)
 - Then integrate q = df/dx along each column (starting with value of the first row)



Limitations

- Big problems
 - Doesn't work for shiny things, semi-translucent things
 - Shadows, inter-reflections
- Smaller problems
 - Camera and lights have to be distant
 - Calibration requirements
 - measure light source directions, intensities
 - camera response function
- Check this <u>cool APP</u> from Grant Schindler

Shape from X

- Take more images
 - Photometric stereo
- Add more constraints
 - Shape-from-shading

Shape from Shading

Examples of the classic bump/dent stimuli used to test lighting assumptions when judging shape from shading, with shading orientations (a) 0° and (b) 180° from the vertical.



Thomas R et al. J Vis 2010;10:6



Also check Ramachandran's work on Shape from Shading by Humans http://psy.ucsd.edu/chip/ramabio.html

Shape from Shading

- Given a single image of an object with known surface reflectance taken under a known light source, can we recover the shape of the object?
- Given R(p,q) ((p_s,q_s) and surface reflectance) can we determine (p,q) uniquely for each image point?



- Assume shape along the occluding boundary is known
- Constraints on neighboring normals—"integrability"
- Smoothness

Yes, you need to put them into a complicated optimization

Shape from Shading: Results



- It is not working well in practice
- Why? The assumptions are quite restrictive
- But this is recovery of **3D** from **single 2D** image





• Image intensities = f(normal, surface reflectance, illumination) Shape Reflectance Lighting

Intrinsic Image Decomposition





Intrinsic Image Decomposition



A "real" result assuming we know the shape ...

Summary: Photometry

- Image intensities = f (normal, surface reflectance, illumination)
- BRDF captures the relationship between surface normal, surface reflectance and indent light
- Recovering shape from images/an image
 - Photometric Stereo
 - Shape from Shading
- Intrinsic Image: Decomposing the surface reflectance and the illumination