Partitioning: Motivational Problem: Computing the temperature distribution

- Use the “diffusion rule” that the average temperature at a grid point is the average temperature of its neighboring grid points.
- Solve the equations by iteratively updating the temperatures at each grid point.
- The equations can be expressed as \( Ax = b \) where \( A \) is a sparse matrix.
Pattern of the sparse matrix

How would you partition this matrix for performing a sparse matrix-vector multiplication?
Partitioning for 3 processes

The vector is partitioned into 3 parts the same way.
Partitioning for 4 processes
Partitioning for 9 processes
How to partition this matrix to reduce communication?
Partitioning sparse matrices

• The sparse matrix corresponds to a graph. Partition the graph in a way such that communication is reduced.

• In many scientific problems, the sparse matrix is not arbitrary: it corresponds to the discretization mesh.

• For a mesh partitioning, number of neighbors is bounded independent of the mesh size.
Lake Superior water temperature forecast (2014)

August surface water temperature (°F)

Image credit: Great Lakes Evaporation Network (GLEN)
Partitioning Unstructured Problems
Many applications of graph partitioning

Data clustering

“Normalized Cut” for image segmentation

http://bio.informatik.uni-jena.de/peace/
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Graph Formulation
Graph Formulation (Symmetric)

Partitioning is a symmetric “reordering” of the rows and columns of the matrix

Sum of weights of cut edges = number of off-block-diagonal nonzeros

Traditional Graph Partitioning: Minimize “edge-cut” while keeping partitions balanced

However, communication volume is proportional to the number of boundary vertices

Also should try to minimize the total number of messages (minimize num neighbors)

Minimize the maximum communication cost for among all processors
Graph Formulation (Nonsymmetric)
Graphs and Hypergraphs

- Graph = vertices and edges (edges join two vertices)
- Hypergraph = vertices and hyperedges (hyperedges join two or more vertices)
Graph vs. Hypergraph Partitioning

- For finite element meshes, graph partitioning is “good enough”
- For very unstructured meshes, hypergraph partitioning may be useful (e.g., PaToH library)
Hypergraph Formulation

Attempt to directly minimize the actual communication volume. K-way hypergraph partitioning is common in circuit partitioning.

vertex=row
net=column
Hypergraph Formulation

Attempt to directly minimize the actual communication volume. K-way hypergraph partitioning is common in circuit partitioning.

\[
\text{cut size} = \sum_{n_j \in \text{Ext}} (\lambda_j - 1)
\]
Partitioning

- Geometric techniques
- Combinatorial techniques
- Spectral graph partitioning
- Multilevel techniques
Geometric Techniques

Use only coordinate information

Attempt to minimize the size of the boundary

Need to avoid disconnected regions

Geometric techniques are generally fast but low quality
Geometric Techniques

Coordinate nested dissection:

Project centers of mass onto the longest coordinate axis; then bisect these centers
Geometric/Combinatorial

Space-filling curves:

Curve fills space in a locality-preserving fashion; The resulting ordering is partitioned into k parts.
Combinatorial Techniques

Use only adjacency information

Levelized Nested Dissection

Use multiple starting nodes; Choose best result.
Spectral Partitioning

Use second largest eigenvalue eigenvector of the graph Laplacian (Fieldler vector) for partitioning.

\[ L_G = A - D \]
Partition Refinement

Kernighan-Lin Algorithm

Ideas:
Swap best pair of vertices;
Allow the cut size to get worse
to move out of local minima
Partition Refinement

Fiduccia-Mattheyses Algorithm

Like KL, but move single vertex (faster)
Fiduccia-Mattheyses Algorithm

Like KL, but move single vertex (faster)
Multilevel Techniques

Coarsening Phase

Uncoarsening and Refinement Phase

Initial Partitioning Phase
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Graph Coarsening via Matching

Reduce the exposed edge weight
Partition refinement is faster on coarsened graphs
Load Rebalancing/Repartitioning

- Additional objective: minimize the total amount of data that needs to be moved
- (But really want to minimize the maximum amount moved to/from any processor)
Repartitioning Methods

- Repartition from scratch
- Cut-and-paste (bad method)
  - Does not consider edge cut
  - may give disconnected subdomains
- Scratch-remap
  - Repartition from scratch; then somehow map to processors to minimize resulting data movement
- Diffusive schemes
  - Recursive bisection diffusion
  - Adaptive space-filling-curves
  - Formulate and solve an optimization problem
Graph partitioners

• Input is a graph, output is a partitioning
• Many codes: METIS, Chaco, SCOTCH, etc.
• Input graph data structure: edge list for each vertex
What if...

• Work per task cannot be estimated accurately
• Tasks are generated by other tasks
Dynamic scheduling

• Partition problem into large number of tasks, which are put into a queue
• Each node takes a task from the queue when it is idle
• Load is balanced if tasks are small enough
• Does not consider communication
• If the queue is centralized, then it may become a bottleneck (queue can only be accessed by one node at a time)
Work stealing

- Try to reduce the synchronization cost of dynamic scheduling (decentralized dynamic scheduling)
- Each process has its own task queue
- When process runs out of work, it “steals” work from other processes
- Possible to have a “work donating” paradigm, which may be better if one node is overloaded compared to the others; estimate of work can be done on earlier iterations