## Dialog Styles: Command Languages, WIMP, & Direct Manipulation

John Stasko

Spring 2007

This material has been developed by Georgia Tech HCI faculty, and continues to evolve. Contributors include Gregory Abowd, Al Badre, Jim Foley, Elizabeth Mynatt, Jeff Pierce, Colin Potts, Chris Shaw, John Stasko, and Bruce Walker. Permission is granted to use with acknowledgement for non-profit purposes. Last revision: January 2007.

## **Dialog Styles**

- 1. Command languages
- 2. WIMP Window, Icon, Menu, Pointer
- 3. Direct manipulation
- 4. Gesture, pen
- 5. Speech/Natural language

## Agenda

- Command languages
  - Advantages, disadvantages
  - Design guidelines
- WIMP
  - Advantages, disadvantages
  - Design guidelines
- Direct manipulation
  - Definition
  - Advantages & disadvantages
  - Another characterization

6750-Spr '07



26







#### **CL** Attributes

- Work primarily by recall, not recognition
- Heavy memory load
- Little or nothing is visible so...
- Poor choice for novices
- But all is not bad...





























### **Abbreviation Guidelines**

- Use single primary rule (with single fallback for conflicts)
- Use fallback as little as possible
- Mark use of fallback in documentation
- Let user know primary and secondary rules
- Truncation is good but generates conflicts
- Fixed length is better than variable length
- Don't use abbrevs. in system output





































































## Ultimately...

• In end, must characterize direct manipulation by feeling of directness and illusion of manipulating objects at hand

<u>95</u>

57

6750-Spr `07







# Upcoming

- Dialog
  - Speech & natural language

.

- Pen & Gesture
- Predictive Models

6750-Spr '07