

# User Tasks & Analysis



CS 7450 - Information Visualization  
September 28, 2015  
John Stasko

## What for?



- In order to build better visualizations, we need to understand what people might use them for
  - What tasks do they want to accomplish?

# An Example



- search vs. browsing
- Value of Vis day (coming up):
  - Exploratory data analysis
  - Identifying better questions
  - Understanding, awareness, context, trust

Fall 2015

CS 7450

3

# Browsing vs. Search



- Important difference in activities
- Appears that information visualization may have more to offer to browsing
- But...browsing is a softer, fuzzier activity
- So, how do we articulate utility?
  - Maybe describe when it's useful
  - When is browsing useful?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

4

# Browsing



- Useful when
  - Good underlying structure so that items close to one another can be inferred to be similar
  - Users are unfamiliar with collection contents
  - Users have limited understanding of how system is organized and prefer less cognitively loaded method of exploration
  - Users have difficulty verbalizing underlying information need
  - Information is easier to recognize than describe

Lin '97

Fall 2015

CS 7450

5

# Thought



- Maybe infovis isn't about answering questions or solving problems... hmmm
- Maybe it's about asking better questions

Fall 2015

CS 7450

6

# Tasks



- OK, but browsing and search are very high level
- Let's be more specific...

Fall 2015

CS 7450

7

# Example from Earlier



Which cereal has the most/least potassium?  
 Questions: Is there a relationship between potassium and fiber?  
 If so, are there any outliers?  
 Which manufacturer makes the healthiest cereals?

	A	B	C	D					
1	Cereal	Manufacturer	Fiber	Potassium	28	Honey-comb	P	0	36
2	100% Bran	N	10	280	29	Just Right Fruit & Nut	K	2	96
3	100% Natural Bran	Q	2	135	30	Life	Q	2	96
4	All-Bran	K	9	320	31	Lucky Charms	G	0	56
5	All-Bran with Extra Fiber	K	14	330	32	Maypo	A	0	96
6	Almond Delight	R	1	0	33	Muesli Raisins, Dates, &	R	3	170
7	Apple Cinnamon Cheerio	G	1.5	70	34	Multi-Grain Cheerios	G	2	90
8	Bran Chex	R	4	125	35	Nutri-Grain Almond-Rais	K	3	130
9	Bran Flakes	P	5	190	36	Nutri-grain Wheat	K	3	90
10	Cap'n Crunch	Q	0	35	37	Oatmeal Raisin Crisp	G	1.5	120
11	Cheerios	G	2	105	38	Post Nat. Raisin Bran	P	6	260
12	Cocoa Puffs	G	0	56	39	Product 19	K	1	45
13	Corn Chex	R	0	25	40	Quaker Oatmeal	Q	2.7	110
14	Corn Flakes	K	1	35	41	Raisin Bran	K	5	240
15	Count Chocula	G	0	65	42	Raisin Nut Bran	G	2.5	140
16	Cracklin' Oat Bran	K	4	160	43	Rice Krispies	K	0	35
17	Cream of Wheat (Quick)	N	1	0	44	Shredded Wheat	N	3	95
18	Crispy Wheat & Raisins	G	2	120	45	Shredded Wheat 'n Bran	N	4	140
19	Double Chex	R	1	80	46	Shredded Wheat spoon	N	3	120
20	Froot Loops	K	1	30	47	Smacks	K	1	40
21	Frosted Flakes	K	1	25	48	Special K	K	1	55
22	Fruit & Fibre Dates, Wal	P	5	200	49	Strawberry Fruit Wheats	N	3	90
23	Fruitful Bran	K	5	190	50	Total Corn Flakes	G	0	35
24	Fruity Pebbles	P	0	25	51	Total Raisin Bran	G	4	230
25	Golden Grahams	G	0	45	52	Total Whole Grain	G	0	110
26	Grape Nuts Flakes	P	3	65	53	Trix	G	0	25
27	Honey Nut Cheerios	G	1.5	90	54	Wheaties	G	3	110
					55	Wheaties Honey Gold	G	1	60

Fall 2015

CS 7450

8

## Exercise



- What are the (types of) tasks being done here?
- Can you think of others?
  - Let's develop a list

## Task Taxonomies



- Number of different ones exist, important to understand what process they focus on
  - Creating an artifact
  - Human tasks
  - Tasks using visualization system
  - ...

# User Tasks



- Wehrend & Lewis created a low-level, domain independent taxonomy of user tasks in visualization environments
- Eleven basic actions
  - identify, locate, distinguish, categorize, cluster, distribution, rank, compare within relations, compare between relations, associate, correlate

Wehrend & Lewis  
Vis '90

Fall 2015

CS 7450

11

# Another Perspective



- Shneiderman proposed task  $\times$  data type taxonomy to understand what people do with visualization
- Mantra: "Overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand"
  - Design paradigm for infovis systems

Shneiderman  
VL '96

Fall 2015

CS 7450

12

# Taxonomy



- Data Types
  1. 1D
  2. 2D
  3. 3D
  4. Temporal
  5. ND
  6. Tree
  7. Network
- Tasks
  1. Overview
  2. Zoom
  3. Filter
  4. Details-on-demand
  5. Relate
  6. History
  7. Extract

# Another Task Taxonomy



- Amar, Eagan, & Stasko – InfoVis '05

# Background



- Use “commercial tools” class assignment from this class
- Students generate questions to be answered using commercial infovis systems
- Data sets:

Domain	Data cases	Attributes	Questions Generated
Cereals	78	15	107
Mutual funds	987	14	41
Cars	407	10	153
Films	1742	10	169
Grocery surveys	5164	8	126

- Generated 596 total analysis tasks



Fall 2015

CS 7450

15







# Terminology



- *Data case* – An entity in the data set
- *Attribute* – A value measured for all data cases
- *Aggregation function* – A function that creates a numeric representation for a set of data cases (eg, average, count, sum)

## 1. Retrieve Value



### **General Description:**

Given a set of specific cases, find attributes of those cases.

### **Examples:**

- What is the mileage per gallon of the Audi TT?
- How long is the movie Gone with the Wind?

## 2. Filter



### General Description:

Given some concrete conditions on attribute values, find data cases satisfying those conditions.

### Examples:

- What Kellogg's cereals have high fiber?
- What comedies have won awards?
- Which funds underperformed the SP-500?

## 3. Compute Derived Value



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases, compute an aggregate numeric representation of those data cases.

### Examples:

- What is the gross income of all stores combined?
- How many manufacturers of cars are there?
- What is the average calorie content of Post cereals?

## 4. Find Extremum



### General Description:

Find data cases possessing an extreme value of an attribute over its range within the data set.

### Examples:

- What is the car with the highest MPG?
- What director/film has won the most awards?
- What Robin Williams film has the most recent release date?

## 5. Sort



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases, rank them according to some ordinal metric.

### Examples:

- Order the cars by weight.
- Rank the cereals by calories.

## 6. Determine Range



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases and an attribute of interest, find the span of values within the set.

### Examples:

- What is the range of film lengths?
- What is the range of car horsepower?
- What actresses are in the data set?

## 7. Characterize Distribution



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases and a quantitative attribute of interest, characterize the distribution of that attribute's values over the set.

### Examples:

- What is the distribution of carbohydrates in cereals?
- What is the age distribution of shoppers?

## 8. Find Anomalies



### General Description:

Identify any anomalies within a given set of data cases with respect to a given relationship or expectation, e.g. statistical outliers.

### Examples:

- Are there any outliers in protein?
- Are there exceptions to the relationship between horsepower and acceleration?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

27

## 9. Cluster



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases, find clusters of similar attribute values.

### Examples:

- Are there groups of cereals w/ similar fat/calories/sugar?
- Is there a cluster of typical film lengths?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

28

## 10. Correlate



### General Description:

Given a set of data cases and two attributes, determine useful relationships between the values of those attributes.

### Examples:

- Is there a correlation between carbohydrates and fat?
- Is there a correlation between country of origin and MPG?
- Do different genders have a preferred payment method?
- Is there a trend of increasing film length over the years?

## Discussion/Reflection



- Compound tasks
  - “Sort the cereal manufacturers by average fat content”  
Compute derived value; Sort
  - “Which actors have co-starred with Julia Roberts?”  
Filter; Retrieve value

## Discussion/Reflection

---



- What questions were left out?
  - **Basic math**
    - “Which cereal has more sugar, Cheerios or Special K?”
    - “Compare the average MPG of American and Japanese cars.”
  - **Uncertain criteria**
    - “Does cereal (X, Y, Z...) sound tasty?”
    - “What are the characteristics of the most valued customers?”
  - **Higher-level tasks**
    - “How do mutual funds get rated?”
    - “Are there car aspects that Toyota has concentrated on?”
  - **More qualitative comparison**
    - “How does the Toyota RAV4 compare to the Honda CRV?”
    - “What other cereals are most similar to Trix?”

## Concerns/Limitations

---



- InfoVis tools may have influenced students' questions
- Graduate students as group being studied
  - How about professional analysts?
- Subjective – Not an exact science
- Data was really quantitative so may get a different set of tasks for relational/graph data
  - See Lee et al, BELIV '06



## Contributions



- Set of grounded low-level analysis tasks
- Potential use of tasks as a language/vocabulary for comparing and evaluating infovis systems

## Another Perspective



- Taxonomy proposed
- "...used specifically for multidimensional visualizations, taking into account the generic objectives that a user has when using such techniques to perform exploratory analyses as a previous step of statistical analysis."

# Task Taxonomy



- 7 tasks in 2 categories
  - User goals
    - Identify – Find, discover new information
    - Determine – Calculate, define a precise value
    - Compare – Compare data & values
    - Infer – Infer knowledge, generate hypotheses
    - Locate – Search and identify information
  - Intermediate level tasks to support analysis
    - Visualize – Represent the data a certain way
    - Configure – Normalize, filter, reorder, etc.

Fall 2015

CS 7450

35

# More Details



- Each task has “parameters”
  - Identify
    - clusters
    - correlations
    - categories
    - properties
    - patterns
    - characteristics
    - thresholds
    - similarities
    - differences
    - dependencies
    - uncertainties
    - variations

Fall 2015

CS 7450

36

# Interaction



- User goals and tasks carried out through interaction with visualization
  - The interactive dialog helps people explore

Fall 2015

CS 7450

37

# Interaction Framework



- Organized along *user intent*
  - 7 categories
    - Select
    - Explore
    - Reconfigure
    - Encode
    - Abstract/elaborate
    - Filter
    - Connect
- We saw this earlier

Yi et al  
TVCG '07

Fall 2015

CS 7450

38

# Interactive Dynamics



- “taxonomy of interactive dynamics that contribute to successful analytic dialogues”
  - part interaction, part task

<b>Data and View Specification</b>	<b>Visualize</b> data by choosing visual encodings. <b>Filter</b> out data to focus on relevant items. <b>Sort</b> items to expose patterns. <b>Derive</b> values or models from source data.
<b>View Manipulation</b>	<b>Select</b> items to highlight, filter, or manipulate them. <b>Navigate</b> to examine high-level patterns and low-level detail. <b>Coordinate</b> views for linked, multidimensional exploration. <b>Organize</b> multiple windows and workspaces.
<b>Process and Provenance</b>	<b>Record</b> analysis histories for revisitation, review, and sharing. <b>Annotate</b> patterns to document findings. <b>Share</b> views and annotations to enable collaboration. <b>Guide</b> users through analysis tasks or stories.

Heer & Shneiderman  
*CACM* '12

Fall 2015

CS 7450

39


# Abstract Tasks



Framework/Typology of abstract visualization tasks



Brehmer & Munzner  
*TVCG (InfoVis)* '13



Chapter 3

Fall 2015


CS 7450

40

# Why?



What are the top-level categories (answers) to the "Why?" question?




Fall 2015

CS 7450

41

# Discover




High to low level

Fall 2015

CS 7450

42

# How?



Fall 2015


CS 7450

43

# Targets



What are the types of targets?



Fall 2015

CS 7450

44

## Can InfoVis Be More?



- Is InfoVis helping people enough?
- What do we need to do to provide even more value?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

45

## Providing Better Analysis



- Combine computational analysis approaches such as data mining with infovis
  - Too often viewed as competitors in past
- Each has something to contribute

Fall 2015

CS 7450

Shneiderman  
*Information Visualization '02*

46

# Issues



- Issues influencing the design of discovery tools:
  - Statistical Algorithms vs. Visual data presentation
  - Hypothesis testing vs. exploratory data analysis
- Pro's and Con's?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

47

# Differing Views



- Hypothesis testing
  - Advocates:  
By stating hypotheses up front, limit variables and sharpens thinking, more precise measurement
  - Critics:  
Too far from reality, initial hypotheses bias toward finding evidence to support it
- Exploratory Data Analysis
  - Advocates:  
Find the interesting things this way, we now have computational capabilities to do them
  - Skeptics:  
Not generalizable, everything is a special case, detecting statistical relationships does not infer cause and effect

Fall 2015

CS 7450

48



# Recommendations



- Integrate data mining and information visualization
- Allow users to specify what they are seeking
- Recognize that users are situated in a social context
- Respect human responsibility

# Another Question?



- Are the visualizations helping with exploratory analysis enough?
- Are they attempting to accomplish the right goals?

# Status Quo Limitations



- Current Information Visualization systems inadequately support decision making:
  - Limited Affordances
  - Predetermined Representations
  - Decline of Determinism in Decision-Making
- “Representational primacy” versus “Analytic primacy”

Amar & Stasko  
*TVCG '05*

Fall 2015

CS 7450

51

# Goal: High-Level Tasks



- Complex decision-making, especially under uncertainty
- Learning a domain
- Identifying the nature of trends
- Predicting the future
- ...

Fall 2015


CS 7450

52

# Analytic Gaps



- Analytic gaps – “obstacles faced by visualizations in facilitating higher-level analytic tasks, such as decision making and learning.”
  - Worldview Gap
  - Rationale Gap



Fall 2015

CS 7450

53

# Knowledge Precepts



- For narrowing these gaps
  - Worldview-Based Precepts (“Did we show the right thing to the user?”)
    - Determine Domain Parameters
    - Expose Multivariate Explanation
    - Facilitate Hypothesis Testing
  - Rationale-Based Precepts (“Will the user believe what they see?”)
    - Expose Uncertainty
    - Concretize Relationships
    - Expose Cause and Effect

Fall 2015

CS 7450

54

# Put Them Together



- Combine the ideas:
  - Use computational, statistical analysis more
  - Cater to the user's analytic reasoning needs
- And put together with infovis
  
- Leads to...

Fall 2015


CS 7450

55

# Visual Analytics



- “The science of analytical reasoning facilitated by interactive visual interfaces”
- Combines
  - Data analysis
  - Infovis
  - Analytical reasoning
- Grew from view that infovis was neglecting these other aspects
  - True?



Thomas & Cook  
*Illuminating the Path*

Fall 2015

CS 7450

56

# Visual Analytics



- Grew from stimulus in the homeland security area
  - Need for better data analysis methods
  - Really big data
- Topic for later in term...

Fall 2015

CS 7450

57

# Related Detour



- Your projects are “design studies”
  - Problem-driven visualization research
  - Assist clients with data who want to understand it better
  - Design and build visualization system
- How do you do it well?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

58

## Design Study Methodology: Reflections from the Trenches and the Stacks

Michael Sedlmair, Member, IEEE, Miriah Meyer, Member, IEEE, and Tamara Munzner, Member, IEEE

**Abstract**—Design studies are an increasingly popular form of problem-driven visualization research, yet there is little guidance available about how to do them effectively. In this paper we reflect on our combined experience of conducting twenty-one design studies, as well as reading and reviewing many more, and on an extensive literature review of other field work methods and methodologies. Based on this foundation we provide definitions, propose a methodological framework, and provide practical guidance for conducting design studies. We define a design study as a project in which visualization researchers analyze a specific real-world problem faced by domain experts, design a visualization system that supports solving this problem, validate the design, and reflect about lessons learned in order to refine visualization design guidelines. We characterize two axes—a task clarity axis from fuzzy to crisp and an information location axis from the domain expert's head to the computer—and use these axes to reason about design study contributions, their suitability, and uniqueness from other approaches. The proposed methodological framework consists of 9 stages: learn, narrow, cast, discover, design, implement, deploy, reflect, and write. For each stage we provide practical guidance and outline potential pitfalls. We also conducted an extensive literature survey of related methodological approaches that involve a significant amount of qualitative field work, and compare design study methodology to that of ethnography, grounded theory, and action research.

**Index Terms**—Design study, methodology, visualization, framework.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade design studies have become an increasingly popular approach for conducting problem-driven visualization research. Design study papers are explicitly welcomed at several visualization venues as a way to explore the choices made when applying visualization techniques to a particular application area [55], and many exemplary design studies now exist [17, 34, 35, 56, 94]. A careful reading of these papers reveals multiple steps in the process of conducting a design study, including analyzing the problem, abstracting data and tasks, designing and implementing a visualization solution, evaluating the solution with real users, and writing up the findings.

And yet there is a lack of specific guidance in the visualization literature that describes holistic methodological approaches for conducting design studies—currently only three paragraphs exist [40, 55]. The relevant literature instead focuses on methods for designing [1, 42, 66, 79, 82, 90, 91] and evaluating [13, 33, 39, 50, 68, 69, 76, 80, 85, 86, 95] visualization tools. We distinguish between methods and methodology with the analogy of cooking: *methods* are like ingredients, whereas *methodology* is like a recipe. More formally, we use Croty's definitions that methods are “techniques or procedures” and a methodology is the “strategy, plan of action, process, or design lying behind the choice and use of particular methods” [18].

From our personal experience we know that the process of conducting a design study is hard to do well and contains many potential

of visualization a good idea at all? How should we go about collaborating with experts from other domains? What are pitfalls to avoid? How and when should we write a design study paper? These questions motivated and guided our methodological work and we present a set of answers in this paper.

We conducted an extensive literature review in the fields of human computer interaction (HCI) [7, 8, 9, 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 38, 47, 57, 63, 64, 65, 83] and social science [6, 14, 18, 24, 32, 62, 81, 87, 93] in hopes of finding methodologies that we could apply directly to design study research. Instead, we found an intellectual territory full of quagmires where the very issues we ourselves struggled with were active subjects of nuanced debate. We did not find any off-the-shelf answers that we consider suitable for wholesale assimilation; after careful gleaming we have synthesized a framing of how the concerns of visualization design studies both align with and differ from several other qualitative approaches.

This paper is the result of a careful analysis of both our experiences in the “trenches” while doing our own work, and our foray into the library “stacks” to investigate the ideas of others. We provide, for the first time, a discussion about design study methodology, including a clear definition of design studies as well as practical guidance for conducting them effectively. We articulate two axes, task clarity and information location, to reason about what contributions design

Reflects on 21 design studies from 3 authors & reviewing others

Fall 2015

CS 7450

59

## Definition


- “A design study is a project in which visualization researchers analyze a specific real-world problem faced by domain experts, design a visualization system that supports solving this problem, validate the design, and reflect about lessons learned in order to refine visualization design guidelines.”

Fall 2015

CS 7450

60

# Problem Suitability



Fall 2015

CS 7450

61

# Framework




Fig. 2. Nine-stage design study methodology framework classified into three top-level categories. While outlined as a linear process, the overlapping stages and gray arrows imply the iterative dynamics of this process.

Fall 2015

CS 7450

62

# Considerations



- **Practical**
  - Data: Does data exist, is it enough, can you get it?
  - Engagement: How much time do they and you have for the project? How much time can you spend in their environment?
- **Intellectual**
  - Problem: Is there a vis research question lurking?
  - Need: Is there a real need or are existing approaches good enough?
  - Task: Are you addressing a real task? How long will need persist? How many people care?
- **Interpersonal**
  - What is your rapport with clients?

Fall 2015

CS 7450

63

# Pitfalls



- 32 pitfalls to design study projects listed, organized by framework phase
  - Examples
    - No real data available
    - No need for vis, problem can be automated
    - Nonrapid prototyping
    - Premature and insufficient deployment

Fall 2015

CS 7450

64



# Design Project



- Examples

Fall 2015

CS 7450

65

## HW 4



- Questions?
- Due next Weds, 7<sup>th</sup>
  - If you haven't started yet...

Fall 2015

CS 7450

66

# Upcoming



- Storytelling (**don't miss it**)
  - Viewing
    - Email with some examples
  - Reading:
    - Segel & Heer '10
- Poster Session

Fall 2015

CS 7450

67

# References



- Spence & CMS texts
- All referred to papers

Fall 2015

CS 7450

68