

OOB

- Capstone/Reports
 - Code and reports due Thursday night, 11:55p
 - Exam period for EVERYONE. 2:50p-5:40p, here.
 - Bring 2 copies of written reports
- Please do CIOS: <http://gatech.smartevals.com>
 - Fear not: access granted to me 5 days after grades due
 - Please complete. I take them seriously and use them to improve my methods
 - Should only take 10 to 15 minutes, tops.

Game AI: Recap

2016-07-26

Game AI: The set of algorithms, representations, tools, and tricks that support the creation and management of real-time digital experiences

Motivations

- gain a breadth of understanding of the toolbox of AI approaches employed in digital games
- focus on applied knowledge within the context of digital games
- understand how a game design can be brought into existence through the application of algorithms that are often thought of as intelligent

Learning Objectives

Students in this course will:

1. ... gain a breadth of understanding of current issues and techniques in academic and industry game AI.
2. ... have hands-on experience in working with different game technologies and incorporating AI programming techniques within those technologies.
3. ... be capable of implementing some of the most common Game AI techniques used in industry today
4. ... better understand the relationship between game AI and aesthetics, narrative, and player experience
5. ... have an understanding of and appreciate the distinctions between artificial intelligence techniques adopted by the computer game industry and those being pursued in research labs and non-games related industries

What is...

- GAI?
 - Set of tricks and techniques to bring about a particular game design.
 - Goals include:
 - enhancing the player's engagement, enjoyment, and experience
 - End behavior is the target
 - Do better than random
 - doing things the player or designer cannot do or don't want to do
 - replace real people when they are unwilling or unavailable to play
 - aid for designers and developers
 - making the entities, opponents, agents, companions, etc. in games **appear** intelligent
 - believable characters / looking convincing
- A Game?
 - A system of rules and a goal and agency.

How/Why distinct from “academic AI”

- Good game AI == matching right behaviors to right algorithms
- Product is the target, not clever coding – ends justify means. FUN
- Illusion of intelligence
- “Magic Circle” (Rules of play: game design fundamentals)
- Elegance in simplicity & the complexity fallacy
- Quality control & resource limits
- Fun vs smart: goal is not always to beat the player
- Optimal/rational is rarely the right thing to do

Common “GAI” Tricks

- Move before firing – no cheap shots
- Be visible
- Have horrible aim (being Rambo is fun)
- Miss the first time
- Attack “kung fu” style
- Warn the player
- Tell the player what you are doing (especially companions)
- React to own mistakes
- Pull back at the last minute
- Intentional vulnerabilities or predictable patterns

Common “GAI” Techniques

- Path planning, obstacle avoidance
 - Tile-based graph (“grid navigation”)
 - Path Networks / Points of Visibility NavGraph
 - Expanded Geometry
 - NavMesh
- Decision making
 - Finite state machines
 - Trigger systems
 - Decision & Behavior trees
 - Rule-based systems
 - Planning
 - Reactive Planning
 - Blackboards
- Command hierarchies—strategic, tactical, individual combat
- Action prediction
- Search
 - Precompute: Dijkstra & Floyd-Warshall
 - Live: A*, Hierarchical A*, RTA*, RTA* + lookahead, D* lite
 - Large: Genetic Algorithms, MCTS, Hill Climbing, alpha-beta pruning, expectiminimax
- (Kinematic & Steering) Movement
 - Emergent behavior—flocking, crowds
 - Steering, Flocking, Formations
 - Terrain analysis—finding resource, ambush points
- Smart environ’s, Scripting, Trigger systems
- Designer intent
 - Dynamic difficulty adjustment
 - Drama management
- Procedural Content Generation
- Player Models: Robin, Bartle, Yee

Learning in GAI?

- Potential to (in principle)...
 - Adapt to each player
 - Provide consistent challenge
 - Produce more believable characters
 - Reduce effort to create game-specific AI
- In practice
 - Falls short, and not for want of trying
 - Hype more attractive than reality
 - Not widely used → **Reproducibility & QA**
- Advice: Be aware of the hype
 - Unpredictability motivates curbing learning ability
 - Often impossible to avoid learning “wrong” thing
 - Behavior might fulfill goals but make terrible gameplay
 - Cake/Eat: ↑ learning flexibility == ↓ control gameplay
 - Overfitting vs Generalization
- Sol’n: **Constrain** learning task (e.g. cover pts)
 - Problem decomposition (again!)

Communication in Decision Making?

- Lens: Multi-agent system
 - Collection of collaborative agents
 - Communicate & cooperate
 - Retain autonomy
 - Need for negotiation / mutually acceptable agreements (cooperative problem solving)
- Reasoning decomposition: distributed expertise
 - Problems too large for single / centralized agent
 - Reactive agents rarely communicate / collaborate
 - Problem independence, partial result sharing
- Hope: Sum greater than parts

- Distributed Decision Making:
 1. Decompose the task
 2. Allocate subtasks to “experts”
 3. Await task accomplishment
 4. Synthesize & Arbitrate results

Information sharing needed for most/all!

Design-time vs Run-time PCG?

- Design time: Speed up design of static content
 - # of unique objects in the world
 - Players expect non-repetitive
 - Game dev times now 100s of man-years with huge design teams
 - Cost savings big motivation
 - RISKS: quality (designer) control, stupidity, magic circle
- Run-time: customization, dynamic adjustment
 - Players are different: Preferences for pace + playstyle
 - Moderate challenge levels (e.g. help avoid getting stuck)
 - Adjust to play style
 - Detect/avoid player exploits
 - When to use run-time PCG
 - When decisions can only be made at run-time
 - When pre-compute exceeds storage/memory limits
 - Replayability; story/quest generation; pacing;
 - Optimization problem
 - What is the set of content that delivers the optimal experience to the player given individual differences?

Game AI By Genre

- FPS
 - Movement
 - Decision making
 - Perception
 - Pathfinding
 - Tactical AI (e.g. Halo)
 - Drama management (e.g. L4D)
- Driving Game
 - Movement & Steering Behaviors
 - Pathfinding
 - Tactics
- Sports
 - Physics (projectile) prediction
 - Playbooks and Content Creation
 - Formation movement
 - Expert knowledge
- RTS
 - Pathfinding
 - Group movement
 - Tactical & Strategic AI
 - Decision making
- Turn-based
 - Similar to RTS AI
 - Timing: AI at disadvantage
 - Player assistance
 - Automation of repetitive tasks
 - Automation of decision-making

Game Oscars: GAI Topics for last 4 years ?

- AI directors & Designer intent
- Planners (HTN and otherwise)
- Open-world systems
- Scale, large numbers active
- Prolonged interaction
- Persistent enemies
- PCG
- NPC personalities & memories
- AI with survival instinct
- Evolutionary algorithms
- Reinforcement learning
- Neural networks
- Interactive fiction
- Behavior Trees
- Smart worlds/objects
- Crowds
- Believable movement
- MCTS
- PCG
- Player models and dynamic difficulty

Takeaways

- Simplicity & speed
 - Non-determinism (& random seed)
 - Inform player about what is going on and why
 - Hierarchies help
 - Heuristics help
 - Illusion of intelligence
 - Search
 - (Graphs & other) Models
- “Everything should be as simple as possible, but not simpler.” – Einstein
 - Occam (of Razor fame – parsimony, economy, succinctness in logic/problem-solving)
 - “Entities should not be multiplied more than necessary”
 - “Of two competing theories or explanations, all other things being equal, the simpler one is to be preferred.”
 - Mikhail Kalashnikov (of AK-47 fame)
 - “All that is complex is not useful. All that is useful is simple.”
 - “Perfect is the enemy of good”
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perfect_is_the_enemy_of_good

Thank you! Candid feedback welcome
(can do anonymously)