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## Outline

- Scanning method and Dijkstra's algorithm.
- Bidirectional Dijkstra's algorithm.
- A* search.
- ALT Algorithm
- Definition of reach
- Reach-based algorithm
- Reach for $A^{*}$


## Example Graph


1.6 M vertices, 3.8 M arcs, travel time metric.

## Dijkstra's Algorithm



Searched area

## Bidirectional Algorithm


forward search/ reverse search

## A* Search

[Doran 67], [Hart, Nilsson \& Raphael 68]

Similar to Dijkstra's algorithm but:

- Domain-specific estimates $\pi_{t}(v)$ on $\operatorname{dist}(v, t)$ (potentials).
- At each step pick a labeled vertex with the minimum $k(v)=$ $d_{s}(v)+\pi_{t}(v)$.
Best estimate of path length throgh $v$.
- In general, optimality is not guaranteed.


## Computing Lower Bounds

## Euclidean bounds:

[folklore], [Pohl 71], [Sedgewick \& Vitter 86].
For graph embedded in a metric space, use Euclidean distance. Limited applicability, not very good for driving directions.

We use triangle inequality


$$
\operatorname{dist}(v, w) \geq \operatorname{dist}(v, b)-\operatorname{dist}(w, b) ; \operatorname{dist}(v, w) \geq \operatorname{dist}(a, w)-\operatorname{dist}(a, v)
$$

## Preprocessing

- Random selection is fast.
- Many heuristics find better landmarks.
- Local search can find a good subset of candidate landmarks.
- We use a heuristic with local search.

Preprocessing/query trade-off.

## Query

- For a specific $s, t$ pair, only some landmarks are useful.
- Use only active landmarks that give best bounds on dist $(s, t)$.
- If needed, dynamically add active landmarks (good for the search frontier).

Allows using many landmarks with small time overhead.

## Bidirectional ALT Example



## Experimental Results

Northwest (1.6M vertices), random queries, 16 landmarks.

|  | preprocessing <br> method |  | query <br> minutes |  |  |  | MB | avgscan | maxscan | ms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bidirectional Dijkstra | - | 28 | 518723 | 1197607 | 340.74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALT | 4 | 132 | 16276 | 150389 | 12.05 |  |  |  |  |  |

## [Gutman 04]

- Consider a vertex $v$ that splits a path $P$ into $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. $r_{P}(v)=\min \left(\ell\left(P_{1}\right), \ell\left(P_{2}\right)\right)$.
- $r(v)=\max _{P}\left(r_{P}(v)\right)$ over all shortest paths $P$ through $v$.


## Using reaches to prune Dijkstra:



If $r(w)<\min (d(v)+\ell(v, w), L B(w, t))$ then prune $w$.

Reach Algorithm


## Experimental Results

Northwest (1.6M vertices), random queries, 16 landmarks.

|  | preprocessing |  | query |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| method | minutes | MB | avgscan | maxscan | ms |  |
| Bidirectional Dijkstra | - | 28 | 518723 | 1197607 | 340.74 |  |
| ALT | 4 | 132 | 16276 | 150389 | 12.05 |  |
| Reach | 1100 | 34 | 53888 | 106288 | 30.61 |  |

## Shortcuts

- Consider the graph below.
- Many vertices have large reach.
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## Shortcuts

- Consider the graph below.
- Many vertices have large reach.
- Add a shortcut arc, break ties by the number of hops.
- Reaches decrease.
- Repeat.
- A small number of shortcuts can greatly decrease many reaches.




## Experimental Results

Northwest (1.6M vertices), random queries, 16 landmarks.

| method | preprocessing <br> minutes |  | MB |  |  |  | avgscan | maxscan | ms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bidirectional Dijkstra | - | 28 | 518723 | 1197607 | 340.74 |  |  |  |  |
| ALT | 4 | 132 | 16276 | 150389 | 12.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach | 1100 | 34 | 53888 | 106288 | 30.61 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach+Short | 17 | 100 | 2804 | 5877 | 2.39 |  |  |  |  |



## Experimental Results

Northwest (1.6M vertices), random queries, 16 landmarks.

| method | preprocessing <br> minutes |  | MB |  |  |  | avgscan | maxscan | ms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bidirectional Dijkstra | - | 28 | 518723 | 1197607 | 340.74 |  |  |  |  |
| ALT | 4 | 132 | 16276 | 150389 | 12.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach | 1100 | 34 | 53888 | 106288 | 30.61 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach+Short | 17 | 100 | 2804 | 5877 | 2.39 |  |  |  |  |
| Reach+Short+ALT | 21 | 204 | 367 | 1513 | 0.73 |  |  |  |  |

North America (30M vertices), random queries, 16 landmarks.

|  | preprocessing <br> mours |  | query |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| method |  | avgscan | maxscan | ms |  |
| Bidirectional Dijkstra | - | 0.5 | 10255356 | 27166866 | 7633.9 |
| ALT | 1.6 | 2.3 | 250381 | 3584377 | 393.4 |
| Reach | impractical |  |  |  |  |
| Reach+Short | 11.3 | 1.8 | 14684 | 24618 | 17.4 |
| Reach+Short+ALT | 12.9 | 3.6 | 1595 | 7450 | 3.7 |

## Concluding Remarks

- Our heuristics work well on road networks.
- Have improvements for query time and space requirements.
- How to select good shortcuts? (Road networks/grids.)
- For which classes of graphs do these techniques work?
- Need theoretical analysis for interesting graph classes.
- Interesting problems related to reach, e.g.
- Is exact reach as hard as all-pairs shortest paths?
- Constant-ratio upper bounds on reaches in $\widetilde{O}(m)$ time.

