

Convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ in n -dimensions.

Given K by an oracle (membership):

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the oracle states whether $x \in K$ or $x \notin K$.

Let $B_n = B_n(0, 1)$ denote the unit ball at the origin in n -dimensions.

Assume $B_n \subseteq K$ & $K \subseteq DB_n$ for a given $D > 1$,
So D is the "diameter."

Measure running time by # of oracle calls &
assume infinite precision since working with real numbers.

Sampling Problem: Generate a point x U.a.r. from K .

Counting problem: Compute $\text{vol}(K)$.

This \uparrow is #P-hard [Dyer-Frieze '88],
hence can't hope to compute exactly in poly-time
So aim for an FPRAS.

First FPRAS presented by [Dyer, Frieze, Kannan '91].

Latest is [Lovász, Vempala '03] which gives an $O^*(n^4)$ time
(i.e., oracle calls) for volume estimation.

Volume via sampling:

Given a sampling algorithm, how do we estimate $\text{vol}(K)$?

Know $B_n \subseteq K \subseteq DB_n$.

Define sequence $K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_m$ for $m = \Theta(n \log D)$,

where $K_0 = B_n$ & $K_m = K$.

Also want that: $\text{vol}(K_i) \leq 2 \text{vol}(K_{i-1})$, i.e., $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\text{vol}(K_{i-1})}{\text{vol}(K_i)} \leq 1$.

Hence, if we generate $x \in_R K_i$ then $\Pr(x \in K_{i-1}) = \frac{\text{vol}(K_{i-1})}{\text{vol}(K_i)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Simplest approach: $K_i = K \cap (2^{i/n} B_n)$.

Claim: $\text{vol}(K_i) \leq 2 \text{vol}(K_{i-1})$.

Proof: $K_i = 2^{i/n} B_n \cap K \subseteq 2^{i/n} (2^{(i-1)/n} B_n \cap K) = 2^{i/n} K_{i-1}$.

By Chebyshev's inequality, need $O(m/\epsilon^2)$ samples per K_i .

& $O(m^2/\epsilon^2)$ in total to estimate $(1 \pm \epsilon) \text{vol}(K)$

with prob. $\geq 3/4$ using:

$$\text{vol}(K) = \frac{\text{vol}(K_m)}{\text{vol}(K_{m-1})} \times \frac{\text{vol}(K_{m-1})}{\text{vol}(K_{m-2})} \times \dots \times \frac{\text{vol}(K_1)}{\text{vol}(K_0)} \times \text{vol}(B_n)$$

$$\& \text{vol}(B_{2n}) = \pi^n / n!$$

Better approach in [Lovasz-Vempala '03]: Use exponential distributions.

How to sample?

Ball walk: Two versions - lazy & speedy.

$$\text{Let } \delta = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Lazy walk: (this is the algorithm used)

From $X_t \in K$:

1. With prob. $\frac{1}{2}$, set $X_{t+1} = X_t$.

2. Else:

- Choose X' uniformly at random from $B(X_t, \delta)$

- If $X' \in K$, set $X_{t+1} = X'$
else $X_{t+1} = X_t$.

Speedy walk: (just used in the proof)

From $X_t \in K$:

1. Choose X_{t+1} uniformly at random from $B(X_t, \delta) \cap K$.

How do you implement speedy walk?

For $x \in K$, let $l(x) = \frac{\text{vol}(B(x, \delta) \cap K)}{\text{vol}(B(x, \delta))}$

To implement speedy: do lazy until get a point $x \in K$; this takes $1/l(x)$ steps in expectation.

What's the invariant measure (i.e., stationary distribution)?

Statespace is uncountably infinite so standard results don't apply.

For lazy walk, invariant measure μ is uniform:

for $A \subseteq K$, $\mu(A) = \frac{\text{vol}(A)}{\text{vol}(K)}$

(this is unique, see Thm. 2.1 in [Vempala '05] MSR notes

or Section 1 in [Lovász-Simonovits '93]

For speedy walk,

$\mu(A) = \frac{\int_A l(x) dx}{L}$ where $L = \int_K l(x) dx$

(5)

For speedy walk, $\Phi \geq \frac{c\sigma^2}{D^2 n}$ for constant $c > 0$.

This is the "right" answer b/c think of an unbiased walk on the integers $0, 1, \dots, D$, which takes $\mathcal{O}(D^2)$ time to mix. And here the walk moves $\approx \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ in 1 direction, and hence rescale to $\frac{D\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$ & this becomes $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D^2 n}{\sigma^2}\right)$.

This implies rapid mixing for lazy walk from a "warm start" which is an initial distribution w where $\forall A \subseteq K$: $w(A) \leq 2\mu(A)$, which we obtain by using a random sample from K_{i-1} as the initial distribution on K_i .

— for a warm start unlikely to start in a "corner" & unlikely to ever get into a corner.

(6)

To bound conductance look at the following generalization:

$$\text{let } \lambda = \min_{\substack{f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \\ f \text{ is not constant}}} \frac{E_{\mu}(f, f)}{\text{Var}_{\mu} f}$$

$$\text{when } f = 1(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin S \end{cases} \quad \text{for } S \subset K$$

$$\text{then } \frac{E_{\mu}(f, f)}{\text{Var}_{\mu} f} = \Phi(S).$$

for discrete-time MC on $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}, \pi)$:

$$\text{Var}_{\pi} f = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x)^2 - \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) \right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x)^2 - \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) \right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x)^2 \sum_{y \in \Omega} \pi(y) - \sum_x \pi(x) f(x) \sum_y \pi(y) f(y)$$

$$= \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \pi(y) (f(x)^2 - f(x) f(y))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) \pi(y) (f(x) - f(y))^2$$

(7)

Hence, $\text{Var}_\pi f$ measures how f varies globally: across all Pairs x, y .

instead $\sum_\pi (f, f)$ measures the "local" variation of f with respect to transitions P :

$$\text{Thus, } \sum_\pi (f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) P(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2$$

In fact, $\lambda = (\text{gap})^{-1}$ where $\text{gap} = 1 - \max\{\lambda_i, P_{ii}\}$
for eigenvalues $\lambda_0 = 1 > \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > -1$
of P

When $f = 1(s)$ then:

$$\sum_\pi (f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x \in S, y \notin S \\ \text{or} \\ x \notin S, y \in S}} \pi(x) P(x, y) = \sum_{x \in S, y \notin S} \pi(x) P(x, y)$$

for reversible MC

$$\Delta \text{Var}_\pi f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x \in S, y \notin S \\ \text{or} \\ x \notin S, y \in S}} \pi(x) \pi(y) = \pi(S) \pi(\bar{S}).$$

Let $\lambda = \min_{f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \frac{E_u(f, f)}{\text{Var}_u f}$

Note: can restrict to f s.t. $\bar{f} = E_u f = 0$

by shifting (doesn't change $E_u(f)$ or $\text{Var}_u f$)

For $A \subseteq K$,

$$P(x, A) = \Pr(X_1 \in A \mid X_0 = x)$$

for speedy walk,

$$P(x, A) = \frac{\text{vol}(B(x, \delta) \cap A)}{\text{vol}(B(x, \delta) \cap K)}$$

for $y \in B(x, \delta) \cap K$,

$$P(x, dy) = \frac{dy}{\text{vol}(B(x, \delta) \cap K)}$$

For $x \in K$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_K F(x, dy) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2 \text{vol}(B(x, \delta) \cap K)} \int_{B(x, \delta) \cap K} (f(x) - f(y))^2 dy
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume WOLOG that $\bar{f} = E_{\mu} f = 0$

& then $\text{Var}_{\mu} f = E_{\mu} f^2 = \int_K f^2 d\mu$

& $E_{\mu}(f, f) = \int_K h d\mu$

We want to show: for all f s.t. $\bar{f} = 0$,

$$\frac{\int_K h d\mu}{\int_K f^2 d\mu} \geq \lambda \text{ for } \lambda = \frac{c\delta^2}{D^n}$$

This is the argument from

[KLS] = [Kannan, Lovász, Simonovits '95]

Reduction to "needle-like" case:

(10)

Suppose $\exists f$ where $\frac{\int_K h du}{\int_K f^2 du} < \lambda$ & $\int_K f du = 0$

We'll show that there is a convex $K_1 \subseteq K$ where:

$$\frac{\int_{K_1} h du}{\int_{K_1} f^2 du} < \lambda \text{ \& \ } \int_{K_1} f du = 0$$

and $K_1 \subseteq [0, D] \times [0, \epsilon]^{n-1}$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ (can make arbitrarily small)

(Roughly, if there's a violating f
then there's a violating f for a
1-dimensional problem)

(11)

Key geometric facts:

A: For convex $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

\exists point $x \in P$ s.t. every line l through x ,

the two sides $P^+ = P \cap l^+$ & $P^- = P \cap l^-$

have $\text{area}(P^+) \geq \frac{\text{area}(P)}{3}$ & $\text{area}(P^-) \geq \frac{\text{area}(P)}{3}$

B: For convex $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of area A ,

$$\text{width}(P) \leq \sqrt{2A}$$

where $\text{width} = \min \text{distance}(l, l')$

$l, l' \neq$

$l \& l'$ are parallel

and sandwich P .

(12)

Suppose for $j \geq 2$ we have convex $K_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

where $K_j \subseteq [0, D]^j \times [0, \epsilon]^{n-j}$ & $\int_{K_j} f du = 0$

$$\& \frac{\int_{K_j} h du}{\int_{K_j} f^2 du} < \lambda$$

Then we can reduce j by 1 as follows:

Base case: $j = n$:

For $2 \leq j \leq n$: these j are "fat" dimensions.

Take 1st 2 fat coordinates.

Look at projection of K_j onto them, call it P .

Take $x \in P$ from A .

Take $(n-1)$ -dimensional plane G through x whose normal lies in P .

We know $\int_{K_j} f du = 0$

So $\int_{K_j \cap G^+} f du + \int_{K_j \cap G^-} f du = 0$

either these \uparrow \nearrow are = or one is > 0 & other is < 0 .

If flip G , then signs \nearrow flip.

Now rotate G & it changes continuously so at some point it changes from + to -

So they are = for some H .

Thus, $\int_{K_j \cap H^+} f du = \int_{K_j \cap H^-} f du = 0$

We know $\int_{K_j} h du < \lambda \int_{K_j} f^2 du$

So either: $\int_{K_j \cap H^+} h du < \lambda \int_{K_j \cap H^+} f^2 du$

or $\int_{K_j \cap H^-} h du < \lambda \int_{K_j \cap H^-} f^2 du$.

Take the violating set \mathcal{Q} repeat

Each time $\text{area}(P)$ decreases by $\geq 2/3$

So eventually $\text{area}(P) \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2$

then by B, width of S is $\leq \epsilon$.

Finally, rotate \mathcal{Q} one of these 2 dimensions is now of width $\leq \epsilon$.